

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/676,179             | 10/01/2003           | Kevin Philbin        | 4389 P 002              | 6775            |
| 7:                     | 590 08/09/2006       |                      | EXAM                    | INER            |
| Jeffrey R. Gargano     |                      |                      | LOWE, MICHAEL S         |                 |
| Wallenstein, W         | agner & Rockey, Ltd. |                      |                         |                 |
| 53rd Floor             |                      |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| 311 South Wacker Drive |                      |                      | 3652                    |                 |
| Chicago, IL 60606-6622 |                      |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.                                      | Applicant(s)                             |              |
|---|--|--|--------------|
| Nation of About to a second   | 10/676,179   | PHILBIN, KEVIN                           |              |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner   | Art Unit                                 |              |
|   | M. Scott Lowe  | 3652                                     |              |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | <del></del>  |  | ••           |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |  | ·  |              |
| 1 M Applicantly failure to timely file a prepar reply to the Office   | na latter mailed on 4.4 Neven                        | har 2005                                 |              |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of I period for reply (including a total extension of time of</li> </ul> </li> </ol> | Mailing or Transmission date<br>month(s)) which expi | d), which is after the expira<br>red on  |              |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does   | • • • • •  | • •                                      | -            |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37  | d Notice of Appeal (with app                         |  |              |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See   |  | fide attempt at a proper reply, to t     | he non-      |
| (d) 🔀 No reply has been received.   |  |  |              |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8   |  | e, within the statutory period of thr    | ee months    |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa<br>), which is after the expiration of the statutory p<br>Allowance (PTOL-85).   |  |  |              |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance  | e of \$ is due.                                      |  |              |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$   | The publication fee, if require                      | ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$              |              |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n   | ot been received.                                    |  |              |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>   | uired by, and within the three                       | -month period set in, the Notice of      | F            |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.  | _ (with a Certificate of Mailin                      | g or Transmission dated), w              | /hich is     |
| (b) \( \sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.  |  |  |              |
| I. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants.  | e attorney or agent of record                        | , the assignee of the entire interes     | t, or all of |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>   | n attorney or agent (acting in                       | a representative capacity under 3        | 7 CFR        |
| 5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer<br>of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clai  |  | d because the period for seeking o       | ourt review  |
| 7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:   |  |  |              |
| Attempted unsucessfully to contact applicant's atto   | rney, Jeffrey Gargano, via                           | phone on 8/4/06.                         |              |
|   |  | EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM | MINER        |
|   |  | TECHNOLOGY CENTER 36                     | iuu          |